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Some four centuries ago it was already recognized by Galileo Galilei (1564-1642) that the rigidity of the skeleton of terrestrial animals is related to its load bearing function, which is associated with the animals' size and mass\(^2\)(see Fig. 1.1.).

Fig. 1.1. A drawing by the Italian Galileo Galilei (1564-1642) demonstrating the dimensions of the bones from animals of distinct weights. It is obvious that the length-to-width ratio is remarkably different between light and heavy animals. (After G. Galilei, Two new Sciences, translated by Stillman Drake, The University of Wisconsin Press, 1974.)

This phenomenon of differences in mechanical properties also pertains to the relatively small variations in the skeleton which occur between individuals of the same species, as well as to variations in bone properties within the same subject. Ward\(^8\) observed that the trabecular arrangement within the femoral head, the area now known as Ward's triangle, shows patterns comparable to those found in the crossbeam structures of nineteenth century streetlights. This very clearly illustrates nature's way of mechanically engineering the weight bearing properties of bone. Nearly 50 years later Wolff postulated his 'law'; "Das Gezet der Transformation der Knochen".\(^8\) In this essay he postulated in more detail that the structure of bone is reflecting its mechanical usage history. The process of bone formation and bone remodeling according to its mechanical history is now generally known as Functional Adaptation, a term proposed by Roux.\(^5\)

Since the late nineteenth century, many studies have been performed to evaluate this phenomenon of functional adaptation of the skeleton. It has been shown that in people with a sedentary lifestyle the average bone loss is more prominent than in more ambulatory subjects. This demonstrates that not using the skeleton, i.e. not applying loads onto the bones, leads to mechanical inferiority. This loss can be measured as a reduction in bone mineral density, a reduction in trabecular structure or changes in the biochemical composition or arrangement of the organic matrix components. On the other hand, by exceeding the normal loads by weight bearing exercise,\(^55,56\), or running,\(^1,11,94\) bone mass can increase compared to control or pretreatment conditions.

Bone loss or gain is related to the magnitude, direction and frequency of the stress acting upon the skeleton while applying loads. The resulting strain (e) is defined as a dimensionless measure for linear deformation, one strain being a 100% deformation in length of a piece of material after applying a load. No such high values are found in bone biology, where deformations lie in the range of several hundreds of micro-strains (me). Depending on the final shape change of the material, three forms of strains are identified, namely tensile, compressive and shear strains. In all materials, also bone, stresses are linearly related to the applied loads. The amount of strain, however, is related to the mechanical properties of the material, the Young's modulus, and does not have to be a linear function of stress.

From various in vivo studies\(^35\) is has become clear that strains from 1,500-3,000 me promote bone modeling while strains beneath these values correspond to increased remodeling activity in bone.\(^23\) However, strain values exceeding 6,000 me lead to bone fatigue and final fractures.\(^60\) So it is the height
of the peak strain which renders an anabolic effect on bone mass, but also the frequency of application may play an important role. In a situation of free floating in a spacecraft there is no weight bearing function of the skeleton, i.e., there is no weight bearing stress. This means that, through the initiation of orbital spaceflight, in the late nineteen fifties, an environment has been introduced providing very low strains. Since then, several studies have indicated that spaceflight poses various detrimental effect upon the human body, as a result of near weightlessness or as a result of accumulating radiation outside the shielding Earth atmosphere. Affected are the neurovestibular functions, shift of various body fluids, cardiovascular function, various hemopoietic parameters and the musculo-skeletal system (see Fig. 1.2). The skeleton has evolved during millions of years in unit gravity on Earth, but in a near weightless, or microgravity, state in space there does not seem to be much need for such a rigid weight bearing structure. Based on data of skeleton unloading studies, it seems likely that there may be signs of bone loss after being in a near weightlessness condition in space. Although most of the changes in physiological parameters found under spaceflight conditions reach a level of adaptation at about 6 weeks or sooner (see Fig. 1.2), it is still obscure what the adaptation time and mechanical stability for bone will be after long periods of microgravity.

Fig. 1.2. A schematic presentation of the main changes occurring in a human body in the near weightlessness environment of space. ‘The 1 g set point represents physiological status on Earth. mg set point denotes a complete physiological adaptation level in space which probably can only be achieved by individuals born in space. Point of adaptation is the average time of 6 weeks for a visitor to space to exhibit partial adaptation to the environment.’ (After Nicogossian A.E. In: Space Physiology and Medicine, Lea & Febiger, 1989.)

In the following we will try to summarize data about in vivo bone growth and metabolism which has been accumulated since the start of spaceflight. We have tried to be as complete as possible, using original papers published in, mostly Western, peer reviewed literature. Less attention is given to the Russian, or former Soviet, literature merely due to the language barrier, although some data from Russian investigators is included. One should keep in mind in this respect, that the Russians have far more experience both in number of flights and total flight hours in space than all other nations combined.

Table 1.1 provides more detailed information about the particular flights involved. In the column ‘species’ in this table, only the species involved in bone research are listed, this is also true for the adjacent
column, 'number of individuals'. The number indicated is based on the maximum number of animals used for at least one of the studies reviewed in this paper. The total number of samples used by other studies in the same flight may vary from the number indicated in this column. During Cosmos 605 e.g., 10 rats and two boxes filled with amphibians and reptiles were on board. For this particular mission only 5 rats were used for bone related studies.\textsuperscript{(93)}

Five main groups of flights can be distinguished in this overview. The Gemini flights, the long duration Skylab missions, the Russian Cosmos series, the very long duration Salyut-7 and Mir missions, and the relatively short flights of US Space Shuttle.

More than four years after the first man in space, the Gemini missions were launched. One of the first studies on bone loss in relation to spaceflight emerged from this flight, and was reported by Mack \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(38)}, later corrected by Vose.\textsuperscript{(83)} They reported a reduction in bone density during these flights and showed that mineral loss in the os calcis was 2.9-9.2\% after 4-14 days of orbital spaceflight. Metabolic studies have also been performed during these flights. Lutwak \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(37)} revealed a clear trend towards an increased urinary calcium excretion in the Gemini-VII flight. In one individual this decrease also persisted during a four days post flight period. Also urinary phosphate excretion increased in flight in both Gemini astronauts.\textsuperscript{(37)}

In the Biosat-III satellite non-human primate (\textit{Macaca Nemestrina}) study, a ground control monkey was included which stayed in the same hardware as the flight animal. In these primates an average of bone mineral density loss of 4.5\% at various anatomical sites was reported after a nearly 9 days flight.\textsuperscript{(39)} Few reports on life sciences experiments in the Apollo series of spaceflights have been published in peer reviewed papers. During Apollo-XVII, a metabolic study on calcium and phosphorus metabolism has been reported by Rambaut \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(57)}. For this study, astronauts scored all their diet intakes and fecal and urinary excretions. A reduction of about 0.2\% in total body calcium and 0.7\% in total body phosphorus was found after this 14 days Apollo flight. These losses were mainly due to an increased fecal calcium and an increased urinary and fecal phosphate excretion while under near weightlessness. The losses were comparable to reductions reported in bed rest studies.\textsuperscript{(57)} The authors argued that the changes found may be attributed not only to hypogravity or immobilization but also to disturbances in gastrointestinal absorption. However, other metabolic studies have been performed all indicating increased calcium excretion.\textsuperscript{(50, 37, 87)} In the same study by Rambaut \textit{et al.} the authors also reported a small but significant loss of total body weight in the Apollo-XVII crew.\textsuperscript{(57)} This has been confirmed during the 28 days Skylab-II mission.\textsuperscript{(87)} For Apollo flights this could have been caused by the near weightlessness period in space, by immobilization while being strapped into the modules' seats, or by the relative hypogravity on the lunar surface and / or other systemic influences. For Skylab flights, however, probably only near weightlessness related phenomena should have caused bone loss, since there was ample space to move about in the large Skylab modules. Reduced bone mineral content in Apollo crew was also reported by Vogel \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(81)}

Besides two fishes (\textit{Fundulus}) in the Skylab-III mission, there were no vertebrate animals on board these semi-long duration flights. In analogy to the Gemini experiment, bone mineral content (BMC) measurements were performed on the Skylab crew before and after the mission. Vogel \textit{et al.} reported a negative trend towards a decreased BMC, especially in the os calcis and radius.\textsuperscript{(62)} In two crew members of the Skylab-IV mission, this bone loss had not returned to baseline values even after 97 days post flight. The calcium balance in Skylab-II crew was determined in an extensive study by Whedon \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(87)} A mean pre flight value of this balance of +71 mg/day was recorded, while the mean balance during the last 16 days in flight was -50 mg/day, a negative shift of 121 mg/day. Increased phosphorus and nitrogen excretion was also noted, indicating a loss of soft tissues, probably muscles.\textsuperscript{(87)}

In the mid nineteen seventies a Cosmos series of spaceflights was initiated. These flights were a collaboration of the Soviets with various other nations including Czechoslovakia, France, Hungary, Poland, Rumania and the United States of America. These unmanned missions, sometimes also referred to as Biocosmos, involved mainly life sciences experiments. At that time these satellites were the only completely automated space crafts, and they were capable of staying in orbit for nearly three weeks, while maintaining normal ambient environmental conditions. They could be retrieved and the biological samples recovered for on ground analysis. Much of the early, more detailed, bone studies derive from these flights.\textsuperscript{(42, 74, 93)} The rat was the main species involved, although, especially in the later missions, non-human primates were also part of the payload. Results of studies on bone and Rhesus monkeys under near weightlessness have been reported for Cosmos 1877 and 2044 flights by Zerath \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(95, 96)}

The experimental setup for the Russian Cosmos program is quite consistent over the years. Basically there are four groups:

1: Basal (B). This group of animals is killed at the start of the mission, and gives a baseline value for the various parameters investigated. Especially with young rapidly growing rats this group is important to distinguish between growth and spaceflight-induced effects.

2: Flight (F). This group actually flies on board the spacecraft. Several days before launch the animals are transferred from standard laboratory cages into the special spaceflight hardware, cylindrical cages of 20.5
cm long and 9.4 cm in diameter. Housed in this hardware they are fed pasty diets and water ad lib. During launch this group is subjected to various g-forces, vibrations and noise levels. While in orbit there is a regular light-dark cycle (± 2 lux intensity) and normal room temperatures.

3: Synchronous (S). As the term indicates, this group is synchronized as close as possible with the actual flight group. This means that the animals follow the same procedure as used for flight. One important element is that the launch characteristics experienced by the flight group are simulated for the synchronous animals. Noise levels of up to 110 db and vibrations of 50-70 Hz at an amplitude of 0.4 mm for 10 minutes are imposed upon the animal holding units. After this, the animals are subjected to a series of accelerations for 10 minutes with a plateau at 4´g for 7 minutes to simulate take-off. Re-entry loads, while returning to Earth, are simulated by applying g-forces for 6 minutes with a 6´g plateau for 3 minutes. The actual impact shock at touch down is 50´g for 10 msec. (69)

Table 1. I. Flights covered in this bone studies overview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight number:</th>
<th>Launch date:</th>
<th>Flight duration: day:hour:minute</th>
<th>Recovery time:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gemini-4</td>
<td>3 March 1965</td>
<td>04:01:58</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemini-5</td>
<td>21 August 1965</td>
<td>07:22:59</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemini-7</td>
<td>4 December 1965</td>
<td>13:18:35</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemini-6</td>
<td>15 December 1965</td>
<td>01:01:51</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosatellite-III</td>
<td>June 1969</td>
<td>08:20:--</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo-17</td>
<td>7 December 1972</td>
<td>12:13:51</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyLab-II</td>
<td>25 May 1973</td>
<td>28:00:50</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyLab-III</td>
<td>28 July 1973</td>
<td>59:11:09</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyLab-IV</td>
<td>4 December 1973</td>
<td>84:01:16</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 605</td>
<td>October 1973</td>
<td>22:--:--</td>
<td>2 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 782</td>
<td>25 November 1975</td>
<td>19:12:--</td>
<td>3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 936</td>
<td>3 August 1977</td>
<td>18:12:--</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 1129</td>
<td>25 September 1979</td>
<td>18:12:--</td>
<td>7-11 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 1514</td>
<td>14 December 1983</td>
<td>05:00:--</td>
<td>&lt; 6 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 1667</td>
<td>10 July 1985</td>
<td>06:12:--</td>
<td>&lt; 6 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 1887</td>
<td>29 September 1987</td>
<td>12:12:--</td>
<td>42 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 2044</td>
<td>15 September 1989</td>
<td>14:00:--</td>
<td>6-10 hrs: monkeys 11-34 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salyut-7</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>years</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpaceLab-3 (SL-3) (STS-51B)</td>
<td>26 April 1985</td>
<td>07:00:09</td>
<td>Flight: R+11 hrs: Sim: R+60 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpaceLab-2 (SL-2) (STS-51F)</td>
<td>29 July 1985</td>
<td>07:22:46</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mir</td>
<td>Februari 1986</td>
<td>years</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Shuttle (STS-41)</td>
<td>6 October 1990</td>
<td>04:02:10</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space Shuttle (STS-52)</td>
<td>22 October 1992</td>
<td>09:20:56</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

L = launch, R = recovery, STS= Space Transport System (= US Space Shuttle)

The synchronous group normally lags behind the flight group. This is to provide time to adjust the ground simulation setup according to actual flight data input. Unexpected changes in e.g. temperatures in the flight module can be programmed into the ground module to duplicate the orbital status as close as
possible. The differences between the flight and the synchronous group are of course the near weightlessness condition but also the galactic radiation experienced by the flight group and the difference in start time of the experiment. The effects of spaceflight, or microgravity, on the various parameters are therefore at best compared to the synchronous group. The ultimate control group, however, housed in an on board 1′g centrifuge, was used only twice, during the Cosmos 782 and Cosmos 936 flights. These centrifuges had a radius of 32 cm and held rats which were individually housed in cages of 9.4 ′ 20.5 cm.

4: Vivarium (V). The vivarium group derives from the same pool of animals as the former three groups. This group is not housed in the special, rather small, flight cages, but in standard laboratory cages, which leaves them more space and greater freedom. This group is not subjected to any launch related phenomena.

Cosmos 605 was the first in these series of Cosmos flights. After the 22 day mission, Yagodovsky et al. found signs of osteopenia in rat long bones. In their microscopical study they also reported an increase in the width of osteocyte lacunae in flight samples which suggests perilacunar osteolysis. These unusually wide lacunae were also noticed in bone biopsies, taken from three cosmonauts unfortunately victimized during a fatal descent in June 1973. (Yagodovsky and Gorokhova, unpublished observations in ref. 93)

The Cosmos 782 biosatellite had a total of 25 rats on board. It was the first flight with on board 1′g and 0.6′g centrifuges. Unfortunately, the centrifuge samples were not used for the bone studies as discussed below.

Tetracycline was used to determine bone mineral apposition rate. Rats were injected, 3 days before launch and 3 days after reentry with this bone seeking label, which gives makes it possible to estimate the bone formation rate during the experimental period. From histomorphological observations it was concluded that bone formation was reduced during flight, however, most of the parameters returned towards control values during a 26 day post flight period. Also arrest lines, consisting of a less mineralized and biochemically inferior matrix, appeared to be more frequently present in bones from flight animals of Cosmos 782 (42,74) and Cosmos 936 (74) compared to controls. The abnormal alignment of the collagen fibers and the reduced crystallite content of arrest lines is expected to reduce tensile and shear strength of the bone. (74)

Cosmos 936 accommodated 30 rats in total. Twenty stayed under mg, the remaining 10 were placed on the on board 1′g centrifuges. It was shown that the mechanical quality of bone from microgravity animals had diminished after spaceflight. (69) While there were differences between the microgravity group and the in flight 1′g group as well as the synchronous group, no significant differences were found between the synchronous and the in-flight 1′g samples regarding various mechanical parameters. Most of the parameters analyzed had returned to control values at 25 days post flight. (69) Also periosteal bone formation in rat tibia was decreased in spaceflight samples compared to Earth based controls. (68)

In contrast to the two preceding missions Cosmos 1129 had no on board 1′g centrifuge. It housed a total of 37 young male rats, divided in 5 groups. After this 18.5 day flight the animals were killed at various times between 7 hours to 29 days post flight. In general lower calcium contents were found in flight samples. (17,19,29) This was confirmed during the Cosmos 1514 mission. (6) Bone histomorphological methods have been applied by Vico et al. for Cosmos 1514 (76) Cosmos 1667, (77,79) Cosmos 1887 (78) and Cosmos 2044. (60) From these experiments it is clear that bone formation is diminished during orbital spaceflight. Some of these light microscopical studies also revealed an increased number of osteoclasts (Cosmos 1514 and 2044). The mechanical properties as well as calcium and collagen Type-I contents were diminished after the 5 days Cosmos 1514 flight. (6,52,53) This reduction in rat femur collagen Type-I, and Type-III, content was affirmed during the Cosmos 1667 flight. (54) Since there was no change in skin collagen Type-I and III content it was argued by the authors that there was no systemic influence responsible for the reduction in bone collagen, suggesting a specific effect of spaceflight on bone.

Several papers have been published on the Cosmos 1887 flight. Cosmos 1887 contained 10 rats and 2 rhesus monkeys. The spacecraft landed in bad weather in Siberia. Because of this the recovery time was quite long for this particular flight, at least 48 hours. Various techniques have been used to study bone tissues from this flight. Mechanical tests (97), light microscopical histomorphometry, (14,16,18,22,31,78,95) and measurements of the content of various organic and inorganic compounds were performed. (41,66,97) In general the data indicates reduced mechanical, structural and / or biochemical competence of flight bones.

Out of the ten rats flown on Cosmos 2044 five were used for bone related studies. Comparable techniques as used for Cosmos 1887 were applied. After the 14 days flight similar results were reported as for previous flights. In Cosmos 1887 as well as 2044 both weightbearing and non-weightbearing bones in the rat skeleton revealed signs of osteopenia. A significant increase in calcium content of flight long bones has never been reported.

Numerous cosmonauts have already occupied the Russian space station Mir and its predecessor, Saljut-7. The nine individual cosmonauts, examined in a study by Oganov et al. (46) are only a fraction of the total number of visitors to these space stations. In this study there was, surprisingly, no clear change in vertebral bone mineral density in cosmonauts who lived under near weightlessness conditions for up to
150 and 237 days. However, reductions of bone mineral density in pelvis, femoral neck and trochanter were reported by Schneider et al. in Mir crew after a maximum of 312 days in flight.\(^\text{[62]}\)

Up till now, the US has flown two SpaceLab missions, launched with the Space Shuttle, completely dedicated to microgravity life sciences, SpaceLab-3 (SL-3) and SL-2. An advantage of Shuttle missions above Cosmos flight is that Shuttle flights are manned. Crew members can give attention to the hardware and the animals, thereby promoting the well being of in flight animals. Also, the launch and reentry characteristics for a typical Shuttle mission are less harsh compared to a Cosmos lift-off. A disadvantage, especially with older orbiters, is the relatively short duration flights, up to about 10 days.

A total of 8 non-human primates and 72 rats were flown on SpaceLab-3 and SpaceLab-2 missions. About 15 rodents were used for bone related studies. There were no launch and reentry simulations for the animals, also no on board 1 g centrifuge. After the 7 days SL-3 mission a clear reduction in bone mechanical strength was reported in rat vertebrae and humeri\(^\text{[47,63]}\) combined with decreased mineralization parameters at various sites in the skeleton.\(^\text{[15,47,65,92]}\) Bone related hormones were screened in the astronauts.\(^\text{[44]}\) During the SL-2 mission, only a transient increase in 1,25 dihydroxy vitamin D levels was found which could have induced increased bone remodeling, all other parameters remaining unchanged. Recently, some cellular parameters of bone formation, collagen Type-I and osteocalcin mRNA levels, have been studied by Backup et al.\(^\text{[3,4]}\) They reported a decrease in both parameters after a 10 day Shuttle flight in ulnae periostea of the rat, while the osteocalcin mRNA level was also reduced after a 4 day mission.\(^\text{[4]}\)

### 1.2 General Conclusion

The total number of flight individuals used for bone related studies during the first 30 years of spaceflight, included in this overview, are about 90 rats, 5 non-human primates and 26 humans (see Table-1.1). From these studies some general conclusions can be drawn:

1: During spaceflight less calcium is absorbed and / or more calcium is excreted, both resulting in net calcium loss. This was measured either in total body or at various anatomical sites during metabolic studies or various X-ray analysis. An increased mineralization in flight long bones has never been reported.\(^\text{[6,15,17,19,37,39,41,42,46,47,50,57,62,68,74,81,82,83,87,93]}\)

2: Bone mechanical properties are diminished after spaceflight, especially in weight bearing bones.\(^\text{[6,47,63,69,71,97]}\) Although most changes after spaceflight have been found in the weight bearing skeleton, also non-weight bearing bones seems to be at risk.\(^\text{[64,66]}\) This could mean that systemic factors also play a role in the effects of spaceflight conditions on bone.

3: Biochemical changes of the skeletal organic matrix compounds have been reported. Changes in the content of keratosulphate,\(^\text{[17]}\) reductions of Type-I and / or Type III collagen,\(^\text{[41,52,53,54]}\) and increases in total peptide and peptide soluble collagen content have been reported.\(^\text{[62,54]}\) In addition wider collagen fibers were found in cartilage.\(^\text{[16]}\) Reduced osteocalcin content,\(^\text{[61]}\) and down regulated mRNA levels of osteocalcin and collagen Type-I were also reported.\(^\text{[4]}\) The shifted calcium / glycosaminoglycan ratio\(^\text{[17]}\) and retarded maturation of protein components\(^\text{[53]}\) are indications for a retarded maturation of the bone matrix. Changes in the maturation of skeletal tissues after spaceflight was also suggested by Simmons et al.\(^\text{[65,66]}\)

4: Histomorphological studies have shown a reduced trabecular bone volume\(^\text{[18,29,31,77,79,80,92,93,96]}\) or reduced cortical cross sectional area,\(^\text{[63]}\) both resulting in reduced mechanical properties of bone.

5: Overall longitudinal growth of long bones is affected under spaceflight conditions sometimes related to decrease in total body weight. Decreases were reported in humeri and tibial long bone lengths\(^\text{[15,63]}\) as well as reductions in lengths of the different growth plate zones within the proximal tibia of the rat.\(^\text{[15]}\) Reduced total body weights have been reported for humans\(^\text{[57,87]}\) as well as for rats.\(^\text{[97]}\)

6: There are numerous constraints involved in spaceflight research. The first problem is the restricted number of flight opportunities, aggravated by the restricted number of samples per flight. The limited number of samples is a particular dilemma in human physiology studies. To increase scientific output, animals or samples are often shared between various research groups. While being favorable with respect to the number of participants to a particular flight, tissue sharing may also imply serious restrictions in the choice of techniques. Favorable experimental procedures for one particular study may be disastrous for others, resulting in reduced scientific output and quality.

An other problem, especially relevant to Cosmos flights, is the long recovery time. This time span is important since cellular processes will continue while returned on Earth, in unit gravity. When the recovery time is long compared to the speed of the processes studied, a possible microgravity effect may have been obscured. A solution to these problems is the in flight samples preparation, as was performed recently during the SLS-2 mission (STS-58, October 1993).

Apart from the flight constraints, there is a great variability in age or total body weight of the rats used for the various spaceflight studies. With this irregularity, an additional variable is introduced. During the Cosmos flights rats between 63 and 115 days old have been used. This problem of variation in age was addressed by using rats at two different ages during the SL-3 flight. Particularly in bone, there is a distinct difference in metabolic processes between young and adult bone. Also the 'lag-time' time difference
between the flight and synchronous or ground controls, sometimes more then 5 days, contributes to differences and variations. It is not clear what the long term effects of spaceflight will be on the metabolic processes of growth and remodeling within the human skeleton. Although there have been in flight training programs and some pharmacological countermeasures have been proposed, very little is documented in the literature about the final impact of these procedures on bone tissue. Therefore, in spite all efforts, very little is known about effective countermeasures for bone loss due to spaceflight. It is quite likely that different procedures have to be adapted to counteract the tissue loss in muscle compared to bone tissue. For the maintenance of skeletal integrity, as indicated in the beginning of this paper, may be not the frequency and endurance of in flight training cycles and prophylactics (cycle ergonometers, treadmills and ‘penguin suits’) but more the level of impact (peak strain value) of the loads could be an important factor for remaining bone integrity in space.

Although microgravity simulation studies like bedrest, denervation, and tail suspension contribute to the knowledge of the impact of decreased mechanical loads upon the vertebrate skeleton, with the realization of the international space station Alpha at the end of this century (a combined American, European, Japanese, and Russian initiative) more data will become available to further characterize the changes seen in human and animal bone under near weightlessness conditions. Prolonged periods in space combined with the increased number of astronauts will allow to develop countermeasures for musculo-skeleton deconditioning. These countermeasures might be used in future treatment programs for terrestrial immobilization osteoporosis.

1.3 Scope of this thesis

The majority of reports concerning bone and spaceflight reveal loss of bone mass and strength as a result of near weightlessness. It is not clear, however, from these in vivo studies, whether these changes are due to direct effects of microgravity on the skeleton, i.e. loss of load bearing function, or whether they are (also) the result of changes in systemic factors such a calcium regulating hormones or psychological stress factors, which are reported to be changed in rats after flight.

With an in vitro experiment one can distinguish between the local influences of mechanical forces and changes due to systemic factors like hormones. The aim of the experiments reported in this thesis was to setup an in vitro test system using skeletal tissues to test whether skeletal metabolism under microgravity is changed due to the changed mechanical environment of space. The results of such a study may contribute to the understanding of the processes underlying immobilization osteoporosis on Earth. In the latter disease bone quantity diminishes as a result of lack of mobility due to a sedentary life related to for example old age, bed-rest and confinements to wheelchairs. At present this problem draws special attention, due to the increasing size of the elderly population within our society.

To address the relation between gravity and bone, a series of studies have been performed on the influence of a range of gravitational forces on growth and metabolism of skeletal tissue in vitro. We used whole organ cultures of fetal mouse metatarsal long bone rudiments, since it has been shown these are responsive to changes in their mechanical environment. To allow microgravity studies we adapted the normal laboratory culture procedures for experiments in the US Space Shuttle (STS-42, IML-1 January 22 1992) and in the Russian Biocosmos satellite (Bion-10, December 29 1992). In concert with these microgravity studies hypergravity experiments were also performed.

Chart 2 describes the outset of a spaceflight experiment. It addresses the so typical interaction of biological and engineering aspects needed for microgravity experiments. This second chapter reports the preparation of an experiment to be performed in the ESA Biorack facility of Spacelab aboard the Space Shuttle, the results of which are found in Chapter 3. A confirmation of the results from the Space Shuttle experiment is described in Chapter 5, in which the same type of fetal long

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight number:</th>
<th>Species:</th>
<th>Number of samples:</th>
<th>Sex:</th>
<th>Age: at launch = L (days):</th>
<th>Weight at launch = L (grams):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gemini-4</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight:2</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemini-5</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight: 2</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemini-6</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight: 2</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemini-7</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight: 2</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosatellite III</td>
<td>monkey</td>
<td>Flight: 1</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>6 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apollo 17</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight: 3</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyLab-II</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight: 3</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Flight</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Basal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyLab-III</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight 3</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkyLab-IV</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight 3</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 605</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>Flight 5</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 782</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>Basal: 6 (B)</td>
<td>Flight: 6 (F)</td>
<td>Synchronous: 6 (S)</td>
<td>Vivarium: 6 (V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 936</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>Flight: 10</td>
<td>Flight 1xg: 10</td>
<td>Synchronous: 10</td>
<td>Vivarium: 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos 1514</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>Basal: ?</td>
<td>Flight: 5-10</td>
<td>Synchronous: 5-10</td>
<td>Vivarium: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salyut-7 / Mir</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight 9</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>adult</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpaceLab-2 (SL-2)</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>Flight 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bones have been cultured on board a Russian Biocosmos (Bion-10) satellite. For the latter experiments a series of biocompatibility tests were performed on an automated tissue culture device to be used for this Bion-10 flight. Chapter 4 reveals the use of polysulphone as the prime material for constructing this tissue culture device.

Complementary to the microgravity studies, hypergravity experiments are described in Chapter 6. In this study fetal mouse long bones were subjected to extra g-forces of 2.2 to 3.1 g. In Chapter 7 provides a summary and general discussion on all the work presented in this thesis. Appendix A describes a new research tool for gravitational research. In Appendix B some physical phenomena involved in microgravity research in relation to in vitro cell cultures are addressed. Finally, Appendix C is a detailed summary of literature concerning spaceflight and bone. It can be used alongside the literature overview in the first chapter.
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